

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,839	07/18/2003	Richard A. Gunderson	737.004US2	4781
21186	7590 11/04/2004		EXAMINER	
SCHWEGM P.O. BOX 293	AN, LUNDBERG, W	NGUYEN, TAI T		
	JIS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2632	

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commence	10/622,839	GUNDERSON ET AL	•
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tai T. Nguyen	2632	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ate, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this comm ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18.	July 2003.		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers, prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	· ·	·	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-70 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-70 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to th			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Sta	nge
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	at of the certified copies not i	received.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-15)	2)

Art Unit: 2632

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-20 and 36-48, drawn to a sensor module, classified in class 340, subclass 436.
 - II. Claims 21-35 and 49-59, drawn to a collision avoidance system, classified in class 340, subclass 435.
 - III. Claims 60-66, drawn to a method of detecting an object, classified in class 340, subclass 903.
 - IV. Claims 67-68, drawn to a method of testing an object detection module, classified in class 340, subclass 431.
 - V. Claims 69-70, drawn to a method of detecting and providing a warning, classified in class 340, subclass 600.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions I-IV and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as a sensor module not used in the collision avoidance system of II, the object detection method of III, the method of testing the module of IV or the method of providing a warning of V; invention II has separate utility such as a collision avoidance system not using the sensor module in of I, the object detection method of III, the

Art Unit: 2632

806.05(d).

method of testing the module of IV or the method of providing a warning of V; invention III has separate utility such as an object detection method not using the sensor module of I, the collision avoidance system of II, the method of testing the module of IV or the method of providing a warning of V; invention IV has separate utility such as a method of testing a module sensor module not using the sensor module of I, the collision avoidance system of II, the object detection method of III, or the method of providing a warning of V; invention V has separate utility such as a method of providing a warning not using the sensor module of I, the collision avoidance system of II, the object detection method of III, or the method of testing the module of IV. See MPEP §

Page 3

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Embodiment 1:

figure 1;

Embodiment 2:

figure 2;

Embodiment 3:

figure 3;

Embodiment 4:

figure 4;

Embodiment 5:

figure 5;

Application/Control Number: 10/622,839 Page 4

Art Unit: 2632

Embodiment 6: figure 6;

Embodiment 7: figure 7;

Embodiment 8: figure 8;

Embodiment 9: figure 9;

Embodiment 10: figure 10;

Embodiment 11: figure 11;

Embodiment 12: figure 12;

Embodiment 13: figure 13;

Embodiment 14: figure 14;

Embodiment 15: figure 15;

Embodiment 16: figure 16;

Embodiment 17: figure 17; and

Embodiment 18: figure 18.

6. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

. Art Unit: 2632

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 2632

Conclusion

Page 6

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tai T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2961. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30am-5:00pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel J. Wu can be reached on (571) 272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

October 18, 2004

Tai T. Nguyen

Examiner Art Unit 2632